

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,860	03/12/2004	Vipul V. Prakash	CLDM-006/01US 301185-2041	1747
\$8339 7590 05/31/2012 COOLEY LLP ATIN: Patent Group Suite 1100 777 - 6th Street, NW			EXAMINER	
			SWEARINGEN, JEFFREY R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001			2445	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/31/2012	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/799,860	PRAKASH, VIPUL V.
Examiner	Art Unit
Jeffrey R. Swearingen	2445

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 25 May 2012 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

NO NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED 1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection. No Notice of Appeal has been filed. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1,114 if this is a utility or plant application. Note that RCEs are not permitted in design applications. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires ____ a) months from the mailing date of the final rejection. h) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action: or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection c) A prior Advisory Action was mailed more than 3 months after the mailing date of the final rejection in response to a first after-final reply filed within 2 months of the mailing date of the final rejection. The current period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the prior Advisory Action or SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is earlier. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a), (b) or (c). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THIS ADVISORY ACTION IS THE FIRST RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S FIRST AFTER-FINAL REPLY WHICH WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, ONLY CHECK BOX (c) IN THE LIMITED SITUATION SET FORTH UNDER BOX (c). See MPEP 706,07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) or (c) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL The Notice of Appeal was filed on ____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS The proposed amendments filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal: and/or d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ___ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the nonallowable claim(s). 7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): (a) will not be entered, or (b) will be entered, and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing the Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: STATUS OF CLAIMS 14. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

/Jeffrey R Swearingen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2445 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

Applicant continues to only argue the Mitty reference. Applicant argues Mitty failed to disclose "generating one or more signatures using a length of the electronic communication and the URLs extracted."

Applicant argues Mitty does not teach this limitation because in [0067]. "Mitty moves on to a separate concept of archiving." The Examiner added parentheticals to all in reading the rejection, and used ellipses in order to not recopy the entire paragraph [0067] of Mitty into the Office Action. Applicant has also omitted sections of paragraph [0067] in Mitty. To solve this issue on the record, [0067] in its entirety is copied below.

[0087] The logic proceeds to step 245 in which a unique waybill D is constructed and included in the waybill structure. To create the ID, a CRC value is generated of the encrypted message is generated. Date information is then concatenated with a digest of the encrypted message is generated. Date information is then concatenated with a digest value, a theoretical possibility exists of a hash collision, and therefore, a long version of the ID is constructed with a digest value, a theoretical possibility exists of a hash collision, and therefore, a long version of the ID is created to help resolve collisions if needed. (A hash collision is a known event in which two strings, each unique, result with the same hash value) The long version consists of the concatenated string of the date information, the CRC, and the digest value of the encryche message. The long version on the ID is included in the waybill and stored locally. Various aspects of the above transactions may be locally archived, if selected. For example, using conventional techniques, sender 105 may archive the ID, the digest of the message M1 the digest adjorithm identifier, e-mail addresses and certificates for the recipient(s) 120, subject text, filenames, message length, and various information specific to the services requested, e.g., insurance level, notary information, information is processed.

The operative teaching (using Applicant's terminology) is "To create the ID, a CRC value is generated of the encrypted message M3 and a digest of the encrypted message is generated." Applicant then skips over the portion that states that the "long version of the ID is included in the waybill and stored locally." Included in storing the long version of the ID in Mitty is the option to include other elements in the long version of the ID including the message length. Storing the ID is part of the generating of the ID in partiagraph [0067], as [0067] describes in its entirety the generation/storing of the ID. The ceneration teaching is not complete until the ID is stored.

Similarly in [0104], the information about the original message is part of the computer code which is generated and inserted into the second body part of the M11. The information about the original message includes "the length of M1" which is a length of the electronic communication.

Applicant's representative is reminded that claims 12-14 remain indicated as allowable subject matter. If claims 1 and 15 are amended to mirror the limitations in claim 12, the application is in condition for IMMEDIATE ALLOWANCE.